

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/614,844	07/08/2003	Hiromi Uchiyama	127A 3345	9180
3713	7590 04/27/2005		EXAMINER	
KODA & Al	NDROLIA	WATTS, DOUGLAS D		
	RY PARK EAST		A DOT LOVE	B. 550 100 100
SUITE 1140			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90067		3724	

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				C,		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/614,844	UCHIYAMA, HIRO	UCHIYAMA, HIROMI		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Douglas D. Watts	3724			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	idress		
A SH THE - Extending aftender - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX (s, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timel 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this crome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 O</u>	October 2004.				
		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideratic				
Applica	tion Papers			•		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in a tion is required if the di	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	· ·		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been receive ts have been receive prity documents have nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	d. d in Application No been received in this National).	l Stage		
Attachme	int(s) lice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ □ Inte	erview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Not 3) Info	ice of References Cited (F10-692) citee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) commation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08) common No(s)/Mail Date	Par 5) ☐ No	per No(s)/Mail Date cice of Informal Patent Application (PToer	O-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/614,844

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The newly added language is confusing in that the structure implied or included therein is not totally understood. For example what structure is being claimed? How does the method of forming add structural limitations?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 1/4, 1/4/5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Loner. It appears that the claims may be met by Loner. Therein he shows a foil with thick end portions. It is not known if the foil is made by applicant's claimed process i.e. formed of a single unit or what structure is implied thereby. Therefore it would have been obvious to produce the foil of Loner by forming it from a single unit.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1/3, 1/3/4, 1/3/4/5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant argues that Loner's foil is produced by adding end pieces to the cutting portion. This may be true but how structurally does the claim differentiate between the two? Further why would it not be merely using an old process to build an old device thereby creating no new or unobvious device?

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas Watts whose telephone number is (571) 272-4515. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:30AM – 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DW

Douglas D Watts
Primary Examiner

4/25/05